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REBT was developed by Albert Ellis, who found that psychoanalysis was not productive for his clients. Basically, when clients change their beliefs about themselves, their problems, and the world, their lives improved quickly and dramatically. Since then this approach has been cited as one of the best or the best of them all.

BELIEFS ARE THE CAUSE OF THE EMOTIONS

Virtually all emotions and behaviors are caused by what people believe about the event that occurs.

This is directly opposed to the idea that the events/situations themselves determine how people feel and behave – that is simply not true! And the problem is that if people believe this untruth, they will not be able to see the cause of the effect – and therefore will not be able to “solve” it.

The “proof of the pudding” is that since people can experience different emotions from a situation, there must be something in between the situation and the emotion. (Duh!)

For example, if I were to fail an important assignment, I might think that the resultant feelings of depression or of renewed determination to do better are caused by the failure.
**THE MODEL**

**The symbols**

A = Activating event  
B = Beliefs about the event  
C = Consequences (in terms of resultant emotions and behaviors)

\[ A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \]

The event occurs, we have a thought (a belief) about it, and the thought causes the emotion.

B is based on how we perceive the event (called an inference) and also our evaluation of the inference. That inference (or “thought about”) is based on a largely on a core belief.

It is virtually never \( A \rightarrow C \). (Some instinctual wiring, which is rare, may cause the initial reaction, but thereafter it is no longer instinctual because it is about what one thinks ‘about’ the initial consequence.)

**THE BASIC TRUTH OF THE CAUSE**

We *disturb ourselves* when we tell ourselves repeatedly irrational sentences that we have learned from our backgrounds or devised ourselves.

We exacerbate what would normally be just a passing event and consequence by continuing to “make comments”, based on beliefs, often in an escalating spiral.

It looks like this:

\[ A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow B \text{ (1 or more)} \rightarrow C \text{ and so on.} \]

The process would stop if there was no additional thoughts applied. For instance, in an instance where fear shows up as an emotion, a wise person with perspective might say “Oh, this is just a fear and fears don’t hurt me, so it is no big deal. Now I shall figure out what this means so that I can produce the best result.” Then the fear would stop.

But if I noticed I felt fear and I said “Omigosh, this is terrible. Here I go again. I am going to do something stupid. And this will mean that I am hopeless…”, what do you think would be the total impact?
If we alter our beliefs to what is rational, then we will not have these “bad” experiences and/or they will be minor and meaningless, unless it is a real physical threat (which is why we have the emotion of fear, so we can save our lives effectively).

**EXAMPLE**

Imagine a person who has a history indicating a biological predisposition to low moods combined with tendencies towards negatively interpreting how he is viewed by others.

The A (event): This person is passed in the street by a friend who doesn’t acknowledge her.

Inferences about the event: ‘She’s ignoring me; she doesn’t like me.’

The B (beliefs about A):

1. I could end up without any friends and that would be terrible!
2. For me to be happy and feel worthwhile, people must like me.
3. I’m unacceptable as a friend so I must be worthless as a person.

The C (consequences):

Feelings: lonely, depressed.
Behavior: avoiding people generally.

The B about C:

‘Oh, no, I’m getting depressed again!’ and ‘I couldn’t bear that’

The next C:

Feelings: anxiety

It is our job to look into what we are thinking and bring it to our consciousness, even when it may be a habitual or automatic thought that we are not consciously noting.

Essentially the beliefs are rules about how the world and life is or ought to be.

However, with practice, people can learn to discover such out-of-awareness beliefs.

**IRRATIONAL THINKING**
A belief is irrational when

It blocks a person from achieving his/her goals, creates emotions that persist and which distress and immobilize, and/or leads to behaviors that harm oneself, others, and one’s life in general.

It distorts reality (it is a misinterpretation of what is happening and is not supported by the available evidence)

It contains illogical ways of evaluating oneself, others, and the world: demandingness, awfulizing, discomfort-intolerance and people-rating.

Basically, you know you have said to yourself an irrational belief whenever there is a negative effect on you and/or your life.

THE THREE LEVELS OF THINKING

We think at three levels:

i) Inferences
As indicated above, every happening sets off inferences (which, in turn, trigger evaluations). Inferences are what we think are facts and can be true or false. They are subject to the following 7 distorting patterns of thinking: black and white categorising; filtering; overgeneralising; mind-reading; fortune-telling; emotional reasoning; personalising. These were described and focussed upon by Aaron Beck et al. and you will be reading about these further for next week’s lecture. The important point to remember is that REBT regards them as part of the A (whereas) Beck would regard them as part of the B.

ii) Evaluations
REBT tends to emphasise the importance of evaluations more so than other types of cognitive-behaviour therapy (which tend to emphasise inferential thinking). Evaluative cognitions can be understood as specific judgments as to the meaning of what is happening to us. If I hear a noise in the house at night, in the dead of night, I might appraise the noise as being the creaking of the roof because of a strong wind and go back to sleep or I may assess it to be intruders in the house and become quite anxious. Thus, through evaluations we go beyond the facts.
Evaluations are sometimes conscious and sometimes out-of-awareness. They may be rational or irrational. There are 4 types of irrational evaluations according to (Froggatt, 1990-2001: n. p.).

a) Demandingness or what Ellis somewhat provocatively calls ‘musturbation’. This type of thinking refers to the human tendency to demand that things in the world absolutely must or
unconditionally should or should not be a particular way. Froggatt observes that some REBT practitioners understand this irrational process to be the central type of irrational evaluation from which the other three types are derived.

b) Awfulising, a process whereby the consequences of past, present or future events are exaggerated.

c) Discomfort intolerance which is an I ‘can’t-stand-it-itis’ manner. The CT alleges that he can’t stand the circumstance or event he is undergoing.

d) People-rating is a process whereby one rates one’s entire (or someone else’s) self. Usually it takes the form of selecting an undesirable trait or action and judging the self based on that specific piece of data. For example, ‘I did a wrong thing when I did that, therefore, I am a bad person’.

iii) Core Beliefs or Rules

Our troubles with our feelings and behaviours can occur in all these levels but the REBT therapist is most interested in finding the core beliefs. Interestingly, Froggatt also calls these ‘rules for living’ (p. 5).

Ellis himself listed 11 of these irrational beliefs as did earlier editions of Corey who now lists only 5. Froggatt lists 12 such as ‘I need love and approval from those significant to me and must avoid disapproval from any source’, and, ‘To be a worthwhile person I must achieve, succeed at whatever I do, and make no mistakes’.

4 We often resort to blaming someone else if things are not to our satisfaction.

5. HELPING PEOPLE CHANGE

The essence of the change process is the DISPUTING of the validity of the core beliefs that the CT holds (D). Successful disputation, whether by CR or by (ultimately) CT, leads to a new EFFECT (E). This E leads to a new, more appropriate FEELING (F).

Corey summarises this process of change by listing the following 7 steps in what he calls a ‘philosophical restructuring’ (p. 301) of the personality.

1. acknowledge that we largely create our own emotional distress;
2. accepting that we can change these disturbances significantly;
3. recognising that our distresses come largely from irrational beliefs;
4. identifying these core beliefs;
5. believing in the value of disputing these beliefs;
6. realising that hard work is needed to change these beliefs;
7. practising REBT methods for the rest of our lives

6. TECHNIQUES USED IN REBT

REBT is selectively ‘eclectic’, according to Ellis, so there are no techniques essential to REBT. Nevertheless, techniques tend to be drawn from cognitive, emotive and behavioural spheres.

a) Cognitive Techniques

i) Rational analysis
Focussing on specific incidents from CTs’ lives and demonstrating the art of disputing the irrational beliefs underlying their distress.

ii) Double-standard dispute
If CTs are holding a ‘should’ or are self-downing about their behaviour, ask them whether they would recommend that their best friend hold this same ‘should’ or would they assess their friend in the same way. When CTs say no then help them to see that this action indicates the presence of a double standard.

iii) Catastrophe Scale
Useful technique for getting ‘awfulising’ into perspective. Draw a vertical line down one side of a piece of paper. Put 100% at the top, zero % at the bottom and 10% intervals in between. Get CT to place the item she is catastrophising about on the scale. Fill in the other levels with items the CT thinks relevant to those levels. Then progressively alter the position of the feared event until ‘it is in perspective in relation to the other items’ (Froggatt, p. 9).

iv) Devil’s Advocate
CR argues vigorously for irrational belief of CT while CT tries to convince CR that belief is irrational. Good to use for consolidation purposes.

v) Reframing
Re-evaluate bad events as ‘disappointing’, ‘concerning’, or ‘uncomfortable’, rather than as ‘awful’ or ‘unbearable’. A variation of this procedure is to list the positives of a negative event. (However, REBT is not wanting to suggest that bad experiences are actually good ones.)

b) Emotive Techniques
vi) Rational-emotive imagery
A form of mental practice, according to Corey, that allows a person to imagine himself thinking, feeling and behaving exactly the way he would like to in actual life. First, the CT imagines a situation that would normally upset a great deal, to feel the inappropriately intense feelings about that event and then change them to more appropriate feelings. Ellis claims that the CT keeps practising such a procedure ‘several times a week for a few weeks’ (Corey p. 307) then the CT will reach a point where he is no longer troubled by the event.

vii) Shame-attacking exercises
Aim of these exercises is for CTs to feel unashamed even when others disapprove of their actions. Corey lists a number of minor infractions of social conventions so as shouting out the stops on a bus or train, wearing loud clothes to attract attention, singing at the top of their lungs, asking a silly question at a lecture, or asking for a left-handed spanner in a grocery store. (These exercises
combine emotive and behavioural components.)
c) Behavioural Techniques
According to Corey, REBT practitioners use the standard behavioural methods when appropriate. REBT believes that actions can change cognitions.
viii) Exposure
Strongly favoured by REBT. Ellis recommended that lonely people go out and talk to a dozen people in their shopping centre or at their next party, thereby showing them that meeting people is not so unpleasant as they had previously thought.
ix) Stepping out of character
This technique is the use of a paradoxical behaviour. A perfectionist may be asked to deliberately do something that is not up to her normal standard; a person who doesn’t care for himself because he believes that to be selfish would be invited to indulge in a personal treat each day for a week.
7. UNIQUE FEATURES OF REBT
a) Absence of Self-Evaluation
Re the question of low self-esteem REBT encourages CTs to jettison the idea of self-esteem altogether. Whereas other therapists would assist CT to develop more healthy ideas re her own self-worth, REBT suggests that she needs to give up the practice of judging human beings as ‘worthy’ in the first place and get rid of the notion that humans need value or esteem. In the place of this, the CT is urged to seek to accept herself unconditionally regardless of her traits or behaviours; acknowledge that she simply exists and choose to stay alive, seek joy and avoid pain; and rather than rating her self rate her actions or traits with regard to how they are helping her reach her goals.

b) Secondary Problems
REBT recognises that CTs often develop problems about their problems and that these need to be dealt with before the primary issues can be managed. For example, CTs can become guilt-ridden about their seemingly uncontrollable rage, or despondent when they don’t seem to be making the progress they think they should be, or anxious about their anxiety.
c) Discomfort Disturbance and Ego Disturbance
When we make global evaluations of the self we run the danger of producing emotional disturbance. This disturbance, which REBT calls Ego Disturbance, is recognised in other types of therapy as low self-esteem, poor self-image, etc.
REBT also recognises another form of disturbance of perhaps even greater importance. This disturbance is also known as ‘low frustration-tolerance’ (LFT). This notion describes people who overreact to unpleasant experiences, to frustration, to their unpleasant feelings; also describes those who will sabotage their own therapy because they perceive the therapeutic road as being too hard.